1	
2	
3	
4	
5	DECEMBRACION ADVITCODY DOADD MEDELING
6	RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
7	
8	FORT MCCLELLAN, ALABAMA
9	
1.0	
10	
11	
12	* * *
13	
14	Taken before Donna D. Gallahar, Court
15	Reporter and Commissioner for the State of
16	Alabama at Large at Building 215, Fort
17	McClellan, Alabama on the 13th day of January,
18	2003, commencing at approximately 6:30 p.m.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1		R	Е	Р	0	R	Т	Е	R	'	S	I	N	D	E X	
2																
3	CAPTION	N.													.Page	1
4	INDEX.	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	.Page	2
5	RAB MEI	ET:	INO	J.											.Page	3-85
6	REPORTI	ΞR	'S	CI	ER:	ΓII	FIC	CA:	ГΕ						.Page	86-87
7																
8																
9			-													
10																
11																
12																
13																
14																
15																
16																
17																
18																
19																
20																
21																
22																

1	MR. CLENDENIN: Let me call us to
2	order. Glad that you are here tonight. Let me do
3	a little roll call to make sure everybody is here.
4	We have several of the members who have excused
5	absences including Dr. Mary Harrington, and I'm
6	sitting in in her stead. Mr. Glynn Ryan.
7	MR. RYAN: Yes.
8	MR. CLENDENIN: Scott Beckett is
9	excused. James Buford. Monty Clendenin, I'm here.
10	Pete Conroy?
11	MR. CONROY: Here.
12	MR. CLENDENIN: Barry Cox?
13	DR. COX: Here.
14	MR. CLENDENIN: Donald Cunningham?
15	Jerome Elser?
16	MR. ELSER: Here.
17	MR. CLENDENIN; Donna Fathke?
18	MS. FATHKE: Here.
19	MR. CLENDENIN: Curtis Franklin?
20	MR. FRANKLIN: Here.
21	MR. CLENDENIN: Lamar Freeman?
22	MR. FREEMAN: Here.
23	MR. CLENDENIN: Craig Branchfield?

1	MR. BRANCHFIELD: Here.
2	MR. CLENDENIN: Ronald Hood?
3	MR. HOOD: Here.
4	MR. CLENDENIN: William Kimbrough?
5	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Here.
6	MR. CLENDENIN: Cheryl Bragg?
7	MS. BRAGG: Here.
8	MR. CLENDENIN: Dwight Mitchell?
9	MR. MITCHELL: Here.
10	MR. CLENDENIN: Jim Miller?
11	MR. MILLER: Here.
12	MR. CLENDENIN: Ron Grant?
13	MR. GRANT: Here.
14	MR. CLENDENIN: Ron Levy?
15	MR. LEVY: Here.
16	MR. CLENDENIN: Doyle Brittain has
17	an excused absence. Philip Stroud?
18	MR. STROUD: Here.
19	MR. CLENDENIN: Okay. We have three
20	new members to the board tonight. And I'm going to
21	call their names, and what I would like for them to
22	do is just share with the board a sentence about
23	yourself and what some of your expectations for

this board. So I'm going to start with C	1 t	ıs l	board.	So	I'm	qoinq	to	start	wıth	Cher	УJ
--	-----	------	--------	----	-----	-------	----	-------	------	------	----

- 2 Bragg.
- 3 MS. BRAGG: I'm Cheryl Bragg and I'm
- 4 the director of the Anniston Museum Complex, which
- 5 includes the Anniston Museum of Natural History and
- 6 the Berman Museum, and I'm just too new to know my
- 7 expectations yet. I'll let you know.
- 8 MR. CLENDENIN: I have been on this
- 9 board for about two years and I am just now
- 10 beginning to figure out the cast of characters, so
- 11 be patient. The language is also hard to figure
- 12 out. But once you get a knack for it, it will come
- 13 to you. Dwight Mitchell.
- MR. MITCHELL: My name is Dwight
- 15 Mitchell, I'm director of public works City of
- 16 Weaver. I'm kind of like this lady here, I'm not
- quite sure what to expect at this point so I'll let
- 18 it go at that.
- 19 MR. CLENDENIN: Okay, Jim Miller.
- MR. MILLER: Yes, sir, I'm general
- 21 manager of Anniston Water Works and Sewer Board,
- and as always, I expect clean water.
- 23 MR. CLENDENIN: Welcome to the three

- of you. Let's start in the back and if you would
- 2 if you are a guest here tonight or part of the
- 3 regular crew, introduce yourself and tell us who
- 4 you are. Start with Ms. Brenda.
- 5 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Brenda Cunningham,
- I work for the transition force, the environmental
- 7 office here at McClellan.
- 8 MR. MCNEAL: Alvin McNeal, Corps of
- 9 Engineers, Fort McClellan Office.
- 10 MS. WINSTON: Linda Winston, Corps
- of Engineers.
- MR. HOLCOMB: Art Holcomb, Foster
- Wheeler.
- MS. HOLSTEIN: Lisa Holstein,
- transition force, environmental office.
- MR. JENKINS: Josh Jenkins, Shaw
- 17 Environmental.
- 18 MR. JAYE: Lee Jaye, transition
- 19 force environmental.
- MR. GARLAND: Bill Garland, U.S.
- 21 Fish and Wildlife.
- 22 MR. STABIL: Darrell Stabil, Corps
- of Engineers, Mobile District.

1	MR. SHANKS: Bill Shanks, transition
2	force environmental office.
3	MR. DOYLE: Joe Doyle, transition
4	force, legal office.
5	MR. DAFFRON: Bob Daffron,
6	environmental office, National Guard.
7	MR. JAMES: Paul James, transition
8	force environmental office.
9	MS. CLEMENTS: Sara Clements, The
10	Anniston Star.
11	MR. CLENDENIN: Okay. Thank all of
12	you. Most of you are regulars, so glad that you
13	are here. You have the minutes or have had them,
14	take a minute to look at the minutes for the
15	November 2002 meeting. If there's any questions
16	about those, let's bring those to the front right
17	now. Are there any changes that you wish to note?
18	Corrections? Do I hear a motion that these minutes
19	be accepted as they are presented to us?
20	MS. FATHKE: So moved.
21	MR. ELSER: Second.
22	MR. CLENDENIN: And I'll give you
23	just a minute for any discussion or a moment before

1	we act if you need just a moment. If you are in
2	many favor say aye.
3	RAB MEMBERS: Aye.
4	MR. CLENDENIN: If you are not, say
5	no. These minutes stand approved. Old business,
6	if you would, look at number four, consideration of
7	amendments to the bylaws. In your packet you have
8	suggested amendments to the RAB bylaws. Give you
9	just a minute to look at those and if you have any
10	questions or concerns about that. Do we need to
11	take any action on this?
12	MR. LEVY: Yeah, I think this was
13	put out at the last meeting. I think you need to
14	go through each one to decide whether you want to
15	make a change. Some of them are going to require
16	some thought, it's not just a yes or no.
17	MR. CLENDENIN: Okay.
18	MR. CONROY: Ron, can you remind me,
19	who suggested these amendments?
20	MR. LEVY: Some of them came from
21	us, some of them came from RAB input previously. A
22	lot of the ones that are administrative in nature

like the first one that says that it was located at

1	Building 141-A where we used to be, we're asking it
2	be changed to here.
3	Some of the ones have to deal with the
4	attendance because of the difficulty of getting a
5	quorum and defining that. One is just about how we
6	are notifying the RAB, that's just really
7	administrative and how we are going to do our order
8	of business, which we have been following as of
9	late.
10	I think the big one really deals with the
11	quorum issue and the change in language there. Joe
12	Doyle suggested that language. I'm not sure
13	whether Joe wants to go into it or not.
14	MR. DOYLE: Just it would make
15	matters easier because in terms of we can have less
16	people and still have a meeting because it's kind
17	of disheartening, plus it cost money to set one of
18	these up and have a court reporter here and not a
19	majority of members present so that you can conduct
20	business. So that was the thought of changing the
21	quorum to a lesser number and still conduct
22	business of the RAB.

MR. CONROY: What is the standard

1	in RABs across the country?
2	MR. DOYLE: I really don't know.
3	MR. CLENDENIN: Do we know, what's
4	the difference in the number we're talking about?
5	How many members versus how many members?
6	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: If two show up,
7	then, well, it would have to be three, wouldn't it?
8	The way it's written if three showed up then you
9	would have a quorum of two on a vote. Is that the
10	way I read it? It says a majority of those
11	present, RAB members present.
12	MR. CLENDENIN: But a quorum has to
13	do with a majority of the committee whether or not
14	you have enough committee to transact business. So
15	it has to do with the minimum number on the
16	committee for you to be able to conduct business.
17	And then those present, you know, majority rules, I
18	suppose, in terms of action. But the quorum has to
19	do with our minimum number of voting board members
20	present to conduct business.
21	MS. FATHKE: I guess on the page
22	that is looking at that quorum defined, I don't
23	understand the difference between how it currently

1	reads and option two. Maybe if somebody could
2	explain that one to me.
3	MR. DOYLE: It's at the option of
4	the people present can determine a sufficient
5	number, at each meeting they have that option of
6	determining what a quorum will be. Actually, I
7	think the first option is the better one in that
8	you can set a number whether it be, how many,
9	Brenda, how many do we currently have to have?
10	MS. CUNNINGHAM: We have to have
11	nine now.
12	MR. DOYLE: We have to have nine.
13	Something less than that, seven would be the number
14	I would suggest. Again, that's entirely your
15	option.
16	MS. FATHKE: How many total members
17	do we have?
18	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Seventeen.
19	MS. FATHKE: So we're looking at a
20	majority.
21	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Right.

22

23

NOBLE & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, ALABAMA 36271 PHONE: (256) 892-0591

difference is if we lose members like we have, and

MR. LEVY: See, what makes a

1	you go from seventeen to fifteen and the majority
2	at seventeen is nine, the majority at fifteen would
3	be eight, okay, but the difference there is that
4	now you are looking at a majority. If you can't
5	get the people there to the meeting, especially
6	since you are losing members, which we've had
7	members leave, it's hard to get that quorum. So it
8	helps us when we lose members. Now we are talking
9	a majority of the regular members, the ones that
10	are actually here. And we were just able to fill
11	three positions, but it took us a while to get to
12	that point.
13	MR. FRANKLIN: To prevent that
14	happening where we can't conduct any business on
15	occasions like you are saying when members go off
16	and everything, what's wrong with option two? I
17	mean, that way you can always conduct business.
18	MR. DOYLE: You are absolutely
19	correct, sir.
20	MR. HOOD: The only problem I have
21	with option two is the way that it's written. It's
22	confusing to me.

1	understand it. I don't want to conduct business
2	with three people here.
3	MR. HOOD: This is a problem that's
4	been going on ever since we've had this, ever since
5	they wrote the first bylaws and constitution. And
6	my suggestion at the time and still the suggestion
7	is just have it fifty percent or forty-five
8	percent, if you want to, of the members that are
9	currently on the board so that if you lose some
10	members it's fifty percent of whatever you have
11	left that you have for a quorum. And that changes
12	up and down as the members change.
13	MR. CLENDENIN: But the ratio
14	remains the same if you do a percentage basis.
15	MR. HOOD: That's right. If you've
16	got half of the people here you can conduct
17	business. Half of the ones that are currently on
18	the board. So if you've got seventeen, then you
19	need nine. If you drop down to fifteen, then you
20	need eight, or make it forty percent or forty-five
21	percent, some percentage, and solve the problem
22	forever.

MS. FATHKE: Well, and perhaps we

1	need to revisit the attendance issues. Where right
2	now we're at as long as you attend fifty percent of
3	the meetings you can stay on the board. But to me
4	if you are only coming to half of the meetings, you
5	are not grasping all the issues and you are not
6	creating any continuity. And perhaps we ought to
7	up that number which would then clean the board of
8	people who aren't coming regularly and therefore
9	make, you know, they would drop off the board which
10	would allow our quorum to be based on whatever is
11	left on the board.
12	MR. LEVY: That's one of the issues
13	in here, attendance, asking for a change. There's
14	some options in there specified. In a given year,
15	in months, there's a recommendation of fifty
16	percent of the regular RAB meetings in twelve
17	consecutive months, if they don't make those.
18	Before we were looking at any given year, they
19	could miss two or three and then come back and miss
20	two or three. It's really
21	MS. FATHKE: Ron, is there a
22	misprint there in that "currently reads?"
23	Shouldn't that be fifty percent of the regular RAB

15

1 meetings in a given calendar year?

- 2 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Which one are you
- 3 on?
- 4 MR. LEVY: Paragraph 4-4
- 5 Attendance. 4.4.
- 6 MS. CUNNINGHAM: No, no, that's the
- 7 way that it reads in the bylaws. Fifty percent of
- 8 the regular members in each calendar year.
- 9 MR. LEVY: The regular member may
- 10 be removed if they fail to attend fifty percent of
- 11 the regular RAB meetings in each calendar year.
- MR. CLENDENIN: Okay. Anymore
- 13 question on the options? Do we want to make one of
- 14 these as a recommendation and motion that we go
- 15 this way?
- MS. FATHKE: Are we going to
- 17 address each one separately?
- 18 MR. RYAN: I would suggest that we
- do, we go through and address each one separately,
- otherwise, because you may want to --
- 21 MR. CLENDENIN: Okay, let's look at
- the first one then, Paragraph 4.1 Regular Meetings,
- 23 currently reads "located in Building 141-A." Is

1	there	any	dispute	of	that?	Are	we	now	meeting	in

- 2 Building 215?
- MR. CONROY: No dispute.
- 4 MR. CLENDENIN: Okay, do I hear a
- 5 motion that we approve that we are in this
- 6 building?
- 7 MS. FATHKE: So moved.
- MR. ELSER: Second.
- 9 MR. CLENDENIN: Everybody in favor
- of acknowledging that we're in this building say
- 11 aye.
- 12 RAB MEMBERS: Aye.
- 13 MR. CLENDENIN: Anybody opposed say
- 14 no. Okay, let's move to the second one. Paragraph
- 15 4.4 on attendance currently reads "fifty percent of
- the regular RAB members in each calendar area."
- 17 The recommended change to read one of these two
- 18 options. I don't understand either. Would someone
- 19 like to --
- 20 MR. LEVY: What we were trying to
- do is allow the RAB to define a number.
- MR. CLENDENIN: Each meeting?
- MR. DOYLE: If I might help you

1	here. Option one, let me, as a suggestion, if a
2	person were to miss three regular RAB meetings in a
3	row without prior notification, that's cause, if
4	the board wishes to, to remove them from the board.
5	Or you can put a different number in there. That's
6	just a number I'm pulling out of a hat, or four or
7	whatever it might be.
8	That's how you address option number one.
9	The board, somebody make a motion and insert a
10	particular number, whatever you think is
11	beneficial. Or you can, and the second one is
12	merely a restatement of what's already in the
13	minutes.
14	Help me out Brenda, I think that was the
15	one we were doing that just clarifies it. You are
16	correct, it does read incorrectly as it's
17	MR. LEVY: The difference is
18	consecutive months rather than calendar year.
19	MR. HOOD: And it doesn't have
20	anything in there about notification or excused
21	absences or anything of that nature.
22	MS. FATHKE: And we meet, is it ten

23

or eleven times a year?

1	MR. LEVY: Eleven.
2	MS. CUNNINGHAM: I have Anniston
3	Army Depot bylaws, and they have three unexcused
4	absences in one year.
5	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Unexcused
6	absences?
7	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Right.
8	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: If you don't have
9	any exceptions, one concern that I have if somebody
10	comes in, which is my experience last year when I
11	had my heart attack, you know, I mean, I couldn't
12	come. But do we have any exceptions in there?
13	MR. BRANCHFIELD: We may want to
14	set up something, if you call in advance and let
15	Brenda know or write her an e-mail and say I'm not
16	going to be there, that's an excused absence.
17	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: But is that in
18	there now? Or are we just saying right here we're
19	going to set a number with no exceptions?
20	MR. FREEMAN: Option one says
21	exceptions, you have exceptions.
22	MR. HOOD: You could call in for
23	every meeting for the whole year and just say I'm

1	not going to be there, and by the way that reads
2	that's okay.
3	MR. DOYLE: If I might make a
4	suggestion then, option one change it to three
5	regularly scheduled RAB meetings in a row without
6	prior excusal by the president.
7	MR. BRANCHFIELD: Why don't you just
8	say two regular meetings in a row or three meetings
9	total, so you can't call in three times in a row.
10	Even then the RAB has to vote you off. I mean you
11	are not just kicked off. So if somebody like the
12	mayor or somebody else has a serious illness and
13	they want to stay on the RAB, they just let Brenda
14	know, that person is sick or family issue or
15	whatever. You can work around that. The goal, why
16	would you give an excuse if you didn't want to be
17	on the RAB anymore?
18	MR. CONROY: Do you want to put it
19	in the form of a motion or phrase something?
20	MR. CLENDENIN: An amendment?
21	MR. BRANCHFIELD: I propose however
22	it fits the words in here, if they miss two regular
23	RAB meetings in a row without prior notification or

1	three consecutive meetings total regardless of
2	notification.
3	MS. FATHKE: Why don't we keep it
4	simple and say three meetings in a row?
5	MR. HOOD: That sounds better to
6	me.
7	MR. CLENDENIN: Somebody want to
8	make that in the form of a motion we can record?
9	MS. FATHKE: I move that we change
10	the reading under attendance to, how did they do
11	that here, to three meetings in a row of the
12	regular RAB meetings. Does that make sense,
13	Brenda?
14	MR. LEVY: Maybe the sentence ought
15	to read regular members may be removed if they fail
16	to attend three of the regular RAB meetings in a
17	row. Is that what you are trying to say?
18	MR. CLENDENIN: Yeah, did you hear
19	that?
20	MS. FATHKE: I couldn't hear
21	anybody.

22

23

NOBLE & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, ALABAMA 36271 PHONE: (256) 892-0591

MR. CLENDENIN: Listen to this.

MR. LEVY: Paragraph 4.4 should read

1	regular members may be removed if they fail to
2	attend three of the regular RAB meetings in a row.
3	MR. BRANCHFIELD: I think you've got
4	to add more onto that, because now you've set up so
5	you don't even have to come fifty percent during a
6	year.
7	MR. LEVY: Well, that was her
8	motion.
9	MR. BRANCHFIELD: I propose or make
10	a motion or however you are supposed to do this is
11	to say three of the regular RAB meetings in a row
12	or fifty percent of the regular RAB meetings in
13	twelve consecutive months. That way you get thrown
14	off if you are just flat out not coming with any
15	regularity.
16	MR. CLENDENIN: Can you say that
17	again?
18	MR. BRANCHFIELD: Sure. Whatever is
19	before the three dots there, but have it say three
20	of the regular RAB meetings in a row or fifty
21	percent of the regular RAB meetings in twelve
22	consecutive months.

23

MR. CLENDENIN: Okay, did everyone

22

1 hear that now? Did someone record it? And are we

- 2 ready to vote? Are you making that in the form of
- 3 a motion?
- 4 MR. BRANCHFIELD: Yeah, I move that
- 5 we --
- 6 MR. CLENDENIN: Do I hear a second
- 7 on that?
- MS. FATHKE: I second.
- 9 MR. CLENDENIN: Okay, now any
- 10 further discussion before we vote? Everybody in
- 11 favor say aye.
- 12 RAB MEMBERS: Aye.
- MR. CLENDENIN: Everybody not in
- 14 favor say no. All right, the amendment then was
- approved, which is option three I guess.
- MR. BRANCHFIELD: Which is simply
- 17 option one plus option two.
- 18 MR. CLENDENIN: Okay. The next page
- 19 paragraph 4.5 Notice. Currently reads, "sent to
- 20 each member addressed first class mail postage
- 21 prepaid. Each notice shall describe the time and
- 22 place of the meeting announced and with which shall
- 23 be enclosed an agenda of the matters to be

1	discussed."	Recommendation	changed	to	read,	"sent
---	-------------	----------------	---------	----	-------	-------

- 2 to each member's mailing or e-mail address each
- 3 notice." Okay is that?
- 4 MS. CUNNINGHAM: It might help you
- 5 that the bylaws with all these changes and the way
- 6 it currently reads is right behind these amendments
- 7 so you can see them.
- 8 MR. DOYLE: This merely makes the
- 9 bylaws comport with the way we do business right
- 10 now.
- 11 MR. BRANCHFIELD: I would move to
- 12 approve this change.
- MR. HOOD: Second.
- MR. CLENDENIN: Okay, everybody in
- 15 favor of that say aye.
- RAB MEMBERS: Aye.
- 17 MR. CLENDENIN: Everybody opposed
- 18 say no. Okay, next page, 4.6 Order Of Business,
- 19 currently reads, you see there, roll call, approval
- of minutes, training reports, committees, old
- 21 business, new business, adjournment. The change
- 22 would be, roll call, introduction of guests,
- 23 approval of minutes, old business, program

1	training, new business, audience comments,
2	adjournment.
3	MS. FATHKE: I move we approve.
4	MR. CLENDENIN: Second?
5	MR. FREEMAN: Second.
6	MR. CLENDENIN: Everybody in favor
7	of that say aye.
8	RAB MEMBERS: Aye.
9	MR. CLENDENIN: Any opposed say no.
10	Okay, paragraph 5.1, A Quorum Defined. Currently
11	reads "a majority of the regular RAB members
12	attendance at any meeting shall constitute a quorum
13	of the RAB." Recommended option one change
14	majority to whatever number RAB wants. Option two
15	change sentence to read a majority consensus of the
16	regular members present at any meeting shall
17	constitute a quorum of the RAB.
18	MR. DOYLE: Can I be heard one last
19	time? Based upon your conversation earlier on this
20	particular issue, I may have a third option which
21	might apply, because I heard concerns of the
22	people. Reading from option two and I'm going to

add some more language, but a majority consensus of

1	the regular members present at any meeting shall
2	constitute a quorum of the RAB, but in no case be
3	less than six members. Would that take care of the
4	concerns that you could have three people showing
5	up to do business?
6	MR. BRANCHFIELD: That sounds good
7	to me.
8	MR. HOOD: Why can't we just go
9	with a certain percentage of the people on the
10	board.
11	MR. DOYLE: I don't like doing math,
12	but other than that. We can do it that way,
13	whatever you want.
14	MS. FATHKE: Why don't we do it
15	like forty-five or forty-nine percent or whatever.
16	Sometimes we miss that quorum by just the one
17	person. You know, if you've got fifteen, then
18	you've got to get eight people. But if you've got
19	just forty-five percent, then you can do it with
20	seven people. Which I think would solve most of
21	our quorum issues.
22	MR. CONROY: Let me ask a more
23	fundamental question, that is how many times have

26

we not been able to meet quorum over an average

- 2 year?
- 3 MR. LEVY: I think just once this
- 4 year.
- 5 MR. CONROY: I can think of two
- 6 times. I don't think it's that big of an issue. I
- 7 kind of like the idea of raising the bar rather
- 8 than lowering it, especially as these issues get
- 9 more serious.
- MR. DOYLE: But we've got people
- 11 that have traveled in and guests speakers, and
- that's a cost that has to be born.
- DR. COX: We can still have the
- 14 guest speakers, we simply can't vote on any
- 15 business.
- 16 MR. CLENDENIN: Whatever the quorum
- 17 is will not improve attendance. It sounds like you
- 18 are trying to do two things at once, with trying to
- 19 get everybody here who should be here. And that's
- 20 what we all should do, but you can't adjust the
- 21 quorum to make people come.
- MR. RYAN: Well, I think if you
- read 5.1, "no meeting shall begin" is the way the

27

1 bylaws read right now. If we don't have a quorum

- 2 present, we can't begin a meeting and that includes
- 3 any other items we had.
- DR. COX: If we can't do it
- 5 legally, we do it any way.
- 6 MR. RYAN: Only because we don't
- 7 count well sometimes.
- 8 MR. CONROY: That only happened
- 9 once.
- 10 MR. RYAN: Actually it's happened a
- 11 couple of times. In fact, that's one of the
- reasons we've had problems electing new members.
- 13 If you remember back, part of the problems we had
- 14 was we couldn't vote on new members. That went on
- for two months.
- MS. FATHKE: Again, we've just
- 17 tightened the restrictions on who is or isn't
- 18 qualified to be on the board. Which means that as
- 19 people drop off the board, that quorum number gets
- 20 automatically lowered anyways. I think that if we
- just change the sentence to read -- I don't like
- 22 the fact that any meeting, that we need a quorum to
- 23 start a meeting. I think we need to change the

1	wording so that our quorum definition stays the
2	same but we can have a meeting without a quorum.
3	MR. CLENDENIN: Business is
4	typically not conducted or actions taken without
5	the majority, without the quorum present. That's
6	what that's for.
7	MR. MILLER: She's saying keep that
8	part, but you can still have a meeting without
9	conducting business. It happens all the time.
10	MR. CLENDENIN: I guess you could
11	hear reports and presentations but could take no
12	action.
13	MS. FATHKE: Usually the only
14	action we take is approving the minutes.
15	MR. CLENDENIN: That's about true
16	too.
17	MR. MILLER: And that can wait until
18	the next meeting when we do have a quorum.
19	MR. CLENDENIN: Well, how can we get
20	past this? Are you comfortable with the definition
21	as it is now?
22	MR. BRANCHFIELD: I think we have

to respect the concern not being able to have a

1	meeting if we already paid to have a consultant
2	come down and give a briefing. So I support
3	removing that portion of the sentence.
4	MR. CLENDENIN: Okay, everybody
5	happy with this and we will just move on and not
6	take any action then. Paragraph 5.2
7	MR. MILLER: Are we going to remove
8	that sentence? I don't know whether you could hear
9	me or not, Mr. Chairman, I suggested that we change
10	the second sentence of 5.1 to read that no business
11	shall be conducted without there first being a
12	quorum present.
13	MR. HOOD: Is that a motion?
14	MR. MILLER: I make it as a motion.
15	MR. HOOD: Second.
16	MR. CLENDENIN: Okay, discussion?
17	Everybody in favor say aye.
18	RAB MEMBERS: Aye.
19	MR. CLENDENIN: Opposed say no.
20	Okay, everybody comfortable with that. And moving
21	on to 5.2, Action By Restoration Advisory board
22	currently reads, "every act or decision done or
23	made by a majority" if the recommended change to

_			_		_		_	-	_
1	5 1	is	approved	thig	change	must	മിഭറ	he	made

- 2 MR. HOOD: This one is null and void
- 3 now.
- 4 MR. CLENDENIN: Okay, let's move on.
- 5 One more. Paragraph 6.0, Committees,
- 6 recommendation, "if the committees are not needed
- or filled delete paragraph 6 and renumber paragraph
- 8 7 to 6." Somebody understands that, what it means,
- 9 what we need there?
- 10 MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Why are we saying
- these committees are not needed?
- MR. LEVY: The RAB has not convened
- 13 them.
- 14 MAYOR KIMBROUGH: What about
- 15 community relations? We used to have one, did we
- 16 not?
- MR. LEVY: We understand that. The
- 18 reason it was suggested was that nobody has
- 19 convened them, nobody has brought them in.
- 20 MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Why?
- MR. LEVY: I don't know.
- 22 MS. FATHKE: Can you speak up, Ron
- we can't hear you down here.

1	MR. RYAN: We do not have the
2	current committees filled. There's a charter, a
3	membership committee and a community relation
4	committee. There's been none appointed this year
5	and I don't believe there was any appointed last
6	year by the chairman.
7	MS. FATHKE: Does that warrant
8	taking them out of the bylaws though?
9	MR. RYAN: If not, we should fill
10	them. And that's the suggestion. Either remove
11	them or fill them.
12	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Again, at one
13	time, wasn't the community relations committee made
14	up of members that went out in the community and
15	made presentations on behalf of the RAB? Is that
16	who made up that committee?
17	MR. LEVY: That was part of what
18	that committee did, yes.
19	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: And is that not
20	part of the goals of the formation of the RAB just
21	to communicate with the citizens direct?
22	MR. LEVY: Yes.
23	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: I don't have any

NOBLE & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, ALABAMA 36271

PHONE: (256) 892-0591

32

1 problems with the charter membership committee.

- 2 You know, of course, other committees might, but I
- 3 think that the community relations, even it if it's
- 4 non-existent now, could be an asset to the RAB.
- 5 But if nobody wants to do that. I know Fern
- 6 Thomason who is no longer on it, about three or
- 7 four of them, they did go out in the communities.
- 8 MR. RYAN: And we certainly don't,
- 9 I mean we didn't intend to say that we wanted to
- 10 cut the committees off. That was either we needed
- 11 to fill them or we needed to remove them from our
- 12 bylaws was our only point.
- MR. HOOD: Well, let me make a
- 14 suggestion on this, take everything out except the
- 15 6.1.1 which says that, "The RAB may add or delete
- 16 committees as it deems appropriate." Leave that as
- 17 paragraph 6 and you don't really need anything else
- 18 for your constitution. That way if we need
- 19 committees we add them, and if we don't fill them,
- 20 it's not anywhere else except that we can have them
- 21 as we need them.
- MR. CONROY: That's all
- encompassing.

1	MR. HOOD: I make it a motion that
2	we take paragraph 6 and have it say the RAB may add
3	or delete committees as it deems appropriate and
4	delete everything else.
5	DR. COX: Second.
6	MR. CLENDENIN: Discussion?
7	Everybody in favor of that say aye.
8	RAB MEMBERS: Aye.
9	MR. CLENDENIN: Any opposed say no.
10	Is that the last one on the amendments?
11	MR. RYAN: I think so.
12	MR. LEVY: There's only one other
13	thing add a line in there on the date it was
14	amended.
15	MR. CLENDENIN: Okay anything else
16	on the bylaws? We're ready to move on.
17	Reply regarding definitions of passive
18	recreation. You have copies of the replies in your
19	packet. Who is going to speak on this?
20	MR. RYAN: I will. Mr. Conroy
21	asked that we provide some information and Brenda
22	sent this out on November the 20th. This was a
23	definition of passive recreation. And just in case

1	someone did not get that, we wanted to make sure
2	there was a copy in here tonight.
3	MR. CLENDENIN: All right. Any
4	questions, any concerns? Okay, reply regarding
5	Army's decision
6	MR. CONROY: Monty, one quick
7	question. With regards to the definition of
8	passive recreation, before the national wildlife
9	refuge had been authorized, I had operated under
10	the assumption that the Charlie area would be
11	cleaned to passive recreation standard. And now
12	since that authorization language, I now understood
13	there could be another standard that's called fish
14	and wildlife or something along those lines. And I
15	would like a clarification in terms of which it is.
16	If it's passive recreation, my understanding is
17	that's surface to six inches, and if it's fish and
18	wildlife then it's surface only. Anyone here to
19	talk about that?
20	MR. RYAN: Well, obviously we're
21	still working the Charlie area EE/CA which
22	encompasses fish and wildlife. So I would hesitate
23	to say we've made any decisions on that. We're

1	looking at recommendations. And I don't think
2	there is anything been pulled together on it. In
3	fact, we're still talking with fish and wildlife on
4	the transfers.
5	MR. CONROY: Again, I've been
6	engaged in those conversations with Fish and
7	Wildlife Service, and that's something that, of
8	course the sooner we have an answer to that, the
9	sooner Fish and Wildlife Service can respond when
10	they are asked, well what do you want to do with
11	this land?
12	MR. RYAN: Sure.
13	MR. CONROY: Any expectations in
14	terms of when that might come around the corner?
15	MR. RYAN: Actually we are going to
16	meet with them again this month and we're
17	continuing to meet with them. Obviously I don't
18	know the answer to it. We're still working, like I
19	say, on the Charlie area EE/CA itself. So no
20	recommendations by the Army in total on that
21	Charlie area EE/CA, and we're still discussing with
22	fish and wildlife on the cleanup.
23	MR. CONROY: So dependent upon Fish

1	and Wildlife Service use, the depth of clearance
2	may change?
3	MR. RYAN: Oh, sure, I think that's
4	always been the case. They have asked for things
5	that are fire breaks and roads and visitor center,
6	so those are all different uses in that fish and
7	wildlife area.
8	MR. CONROY: I apologize if some of
9	these questions are redundant, because we talk
10	about them periodically. But thanks.
11	MR. RYAN: No problem.
12	MR. CLENDENIN: Anything else on
13	that issue? Reply regarding Army's decision to
14	fill area northwest of Reilly Airfield. Who will
15	speak to that?
16	MR. RYAN: I will. Major Kimbrough
17	asked the question at the last RAB meeting about
18	Reilly field, it's a particular parcel, it was a
19	northwest Reilly Army Airfield, and it was a fill
20	area. The reason we had to come back and look at
21	that is we weren't sure exactly which one it was
22	and we clarified we knew which one we were all
23	discussing. And I provided everyone a copy of the

37

1	letter	that	went.	t.o	Mayor	Kimbrough.
_	TCCCT	CIICC	WCIIC		ria y O I	KIRDI Ougii.

- 2 And the question was was this
- 3 investigated in a UXO EE/CA, and it was not. It
- was a landfill EE/CA because that particular
- 5 landfill was not in a fan or range area, so there
- 6 was no part of that with the UXO. The munitions
- 7 that were found, and these were training munitions
- 8 were found in the investigation of the landfill and
- 9 they are being addressed in the landfill itself.
- 10 The letter goes ahead and lays out some explanation
- of unexploded ordnance and munitions and training
- 12 munitions.
- 13 MAYOR KIMBROUGH: What's the date
- on this letter?
- MR. RYAN: Actually it's today,
- 16 Mayor, unfortunately.
- 17 MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Because I knew I
- 18 had not seen it.
- MR. RYAN: No, sir, you had not.
- 20 You had not. I actually said I would provide you
- an answer. I unfortunately didn't get you one
- 22 provided until tonight. I apologize for that.
- 23 MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Part of my

1	question was and belief that that was identified as
2	not passive but active recreational area. And I
3	think I asked one thing about unexploded ordnance,
4	which I've read this briefly, and I understand the
5	difference between practice rounds and other
6	things. My concern was that if there is a
7	possibility of even a slight explosion in this
8	active recreation where children and families are
9	going to be involved in there and they found these,
10	am I to assume that they were found only by a
11	sampling and there wasn't an extensive research
12	done in that area?
13	MR. RYAN: All fill areas generally
14	are considered to have possibly had munitions. I
15	mean it is a fill area, and one of the problems has
16	always been you are never sure what's in there.
17	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: What would
18	further action consist of if they recommend it? To
19	do further research to see if there was more
20	explosive there or actual removal?
21	MR. RYAN: Actually do nothing and
22	to restrict it to no digging. No intrusive action.
23	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Like I say, my

1	concern is that children or family or something,
2	even though they are, got corks in them and
3	explosives, you know, that can injure somebody, not
4	blow them up, but it can injure somebody. And that
5	was my concern and my question on that with it
6	being active recreation. I've still got concerns.
7	From your answer, there shouldn't be one, but from
8	your answer on the grenades.
9	MR. RYAN: They are five to ten
10	foot in depth.
11	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Simulated fuse, a
12	special fuse and be inserted in the top hole and a
13	small charge can be inserted. There's a
14	possibility that any of those grenades could still
15	have those fuses in there.
16	MR. RYAN: I would say there's
17	always a possibility they were not removed.
18	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: That's where my
19	question is coming from. All right, thank you.
20	MR. CLENDENIN: Any other old
21	business? Let's move on to number five, new
22	business. Agency reports, Philip, are you going to

do ADEM?

23

1	MR. STROUD: Yeah, I just want to
2	say something about EPA, I know they are not here.
3	I looked through here and it looked like Doyle
4	Brittain had sent in a little work he's been doing,
5	I think twelve items and there's this little note
6	back here from him. I'm going to pass this out.
7	This is ADEM documents for review. However, I have
8	on here a lot of our correspondence that say "no
9	review necessary." That doesn't mean we haven't
10	reviewed it. It's just things we are not going to
11	correspond back necessarily, maybe a replacement
12	page or something like that.
13	This will get smaller after this. I just
14	want to let you all see some of the documents
15	coming through, and this is not all of them. This
16	comes just a little bit after, this is after our
17	last meeting we had. And just to let you know in
18	2002 we had a hundred and ninety-seven
19	correspondence. If you divide that out and
20	subtract all the days for vacation and whatnot, it
21	comes out to about approaching nine reports a day
22	we're receiving.
23	I've broken this down into pending, and

1	then you'll see some pendings with initials beside
2	it. They are actually being reviewed and they are
3	probably about fourteen or fifteen or more reports
4	that are on the eve of coming out right now.
5	That's through other help, and I've got several of
6	these pendings taken care of. We're approaching
7	catch up.
8	We have been working extensively on UXO
9	comments and review for the M-101 area. Tract two,
10	tract three and the FOST and the Alpha EE/CA. And
11	I guess the rest is self explanatory. There is one
12	report in here that's being reviewed by the Alabama
13	Health Department. And that was the radiologic
14	historical assessment of Pelham Range. And they
15	traditionally work with anything radiological. But
16	if you have any questions, ask me, and I'll sure
17	get you the answers from the fellows at the health
18	department, or you can call them directly. And any
19	of these where we are saying "no review necessary"
20	all these things are for the public, and if you
21	need them you can get them through a file review,
22	any questions?

23

DR. COX: Say that again about how

42

1		~ ~ .~		them.
1	V()11	can	CIPI	1 [100]

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 MR. STROUD: You request a file 3 review. Come down to ADEM and you can get any of 4 these correspondences at any time.

5 MR. CLENDENIN: Okay, any other 6 questions for Phil? The JPA report, Ms. Miki.

questions for Phil? The JPA report, Ms. Miki.

MS. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, sir. On,
at the JPA board meeting in December, the board
voted unanimously to pursue early transfer and
privatization cleanup at McClellan. We sent a
letter to the Army, Mr. Fatts, (phonetic) and as a
result of that correspondence the Army and the JPA
will hold our first kick-off meeting toward the
early transfer January 23rd. There will be a staff
working meeting with just the staff of Army and the

Also at that meeting the JPA board approved for us to begin negotiations with a conservation group for the gray bat habitat at McClellan. You have all seen maps of the habitat, I don't see one in here now, that identifies the location of the feeding area for the gray bat. And

JPA will sit down and work through the issues and

time lines and that kind of thing.

1	we are looking at making those, it's like a hand
2	kind of placed over the top of McClellan with these
3	fingers, and we're taking all of the fingers and
4	identifying them through survey work and giving a
5	conservation easement to a nonprofit group so those
6	will be protected in perpetuity from building and
7	construction.
8	We're real excited about this because it
9	also creates some corridors, some open space
10	corridors that will be there, it will back up to
11	development, it will back up next to it, but it
12	will be protected.
13	DR. COX: What's the group?
14	MS. SCHNEIDER: Choccolocco Open
15	Land Trust. And lastly we also have hired a group
16	called Design Works out of Charleston, South
17	Carolina. They are currently working on a military
18	base in Myrtle Beach, an air force base, and they
19	have started working with us on some specific
20	design issues. Infrastructure is a big issue at
21	McClellan as we begin to look at storm water
22	management and sewer and water, Mr. Miller. We are
23	looking at having them take a look at

1	infrastructure comprehensively and where we need to
2	put our dollars to best serve the future
3	infrastructure needs here.
4	They will also be doing design work.
5	When you say an area is commercial or you say an
6	area is residential, how is that going to look?
7	And they are actually going in and drawing
8	elevations of how a commercial section over here in
9	the historic warehouse area should look. How the
10	streets should interact once that area is
11	commercialized, where parking should be, what trees
12	and landscaping should be saved in a particular
13	area. And they are coordinating with the buildings
14	that we have obviously sold here as well. I think
15	that's about it.
16	MR. CLENDENIN: Any questions to
17	Miki? Thank you, ma'am. TRC report, Craig, are
18	you doing that?
19	MR. BRANCHFIELD: I have nothing to
20	report.
21	MR. CONROY: I could probably
22	report on that by virtue of the trip that you all

allowed for me to go on to Monterey, California.

23

1	MR. LEVY: You took that?
2	MR. CONROY: Yeah, and first I just
3	want to say thank you very much. I will start by
4	saying my hotel room looked over Monterey Bay, and
5	as I checked in on the first night, a sea otter and
6	sea lion were screaming and hollering and it was a
7	beautiful thing. And I went to Monterey Bay
8	Aquarium on the time off.
9	But really there was a lot of work
10	engaged and involved in this meeting. And it was a
11	UXO basic training course, and I would like to pass
12	that around so you all get a sense of what this was
13	all about. And I learned an awful lot about
14	unexploded ordnance and the vocabulary was one of
15	the things that was of interest to me. And I
16	learned more than anything that this UXO piece is a
17	challenge for the Army and the communities that
18	have been plagued by it.
19	And I learned good news that RABs
20	sometimes can be very effective. But there was a
21	disturbing report out of the Fort Ford RAB, and
22	there was a fellow there representing the former

Fort Ford RAB, and he claims that when the RAB

23

1 started to ask the tough questions, the RAB was 2 actually disbarred and it was shut down. And so he asked me in challenge, what are the tough questions that you all have been asking. And I said I had to admit at this stage of the game it was hard to ask the tough questions, because, you know, the EE/CA work really having just getting started. And I was challenged to get the Alpha area EE/CA. And for those of you all who are new here

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

tonight, Dwight, Cheryl and Jim, this Alpha area EE/CA has been looming now since, I guess, what, March, April of last year is when we first started asking about it. And we still haven't been able to get a copy. And I have all the respect in the world for the folks who are producing this, because there are a lot of i's to be dotted and a lot of t's to be crossed. But it has been shared with federal and state agencies. And we've waited long enough, and so I kind of did everything I could to learn more about this Alpha area EE/CA.

And remember that ADEM has said that their documents when produced would be public documents. And although it may have made some of

1	the folks at ADEM a little bit uncomfortable, above
2	your level, Philip, I went ahead and got a copy of
3	the letter written by ADEM asking the tough
4	questions that frankly we may not be trained to ask
5	just at this stage. And this is it right here. If
6	you want to pass that around, I think this will
7	help us in identifying the tough questions that we
8	need to be asking as we move forward toward this
9	unexploded ordnance cleanup.
10	And I guess, for one, being a strong
11	supporter of the national wildlife refuge, I kind
12	of don't want there to be unexploded ordnance
13	issues. Because the more we have a clean base, and
14	as a JPA member, the more we have a clean base, the
15	faster we can move forward in reusing it, creating
16	jobs, and getting tourists out there to take a look
17	at this national wildlife refuge. But people can
18	die when an unexploded ordnance is met by them. So
19	I have recommitted myself to take this as seriously
20	as I possibly could.
21	This letter that is going around may
22	still not make a lot of sense to a lot of you all.
23	And I was going to ask Philip tonight, and I hope

1	this doesn't put you in too much of an
2	uncomfortable position, to help us understand what
3	you believe the tough questions to be that you are
4	asking. And in some ways it's okay that we haven't
5	seen no, let me put that personally, it's okay
6	that I haven't seen the Alpha area EE/CA because I
7	probably wouldn't understand half of what I was
8	looking at anyway. But for the trained eyes of
9	ADEM to ask these tough questions seems appropriate
10	that we even ask ADEM to tell us what you meant by
11	asking these questions.
12	When I was in California I was also asked
13	and challenged to ask questions regarding how valid
14	unexploded ordnance characterization has been here
15	at Fort McClellan up to this point. And so this is
16	something I really would like to focus in on. And
17	I was also challenged to ask questions regarding
18	the models that have been used in characterizing
19	UXO here. And there are obviously lots of
20	follow-up questions, but Philip, if you don't mind
21	helping us to understand what this letter says and
22	if you can identify maybe a high point here or
23	there.

1	MR. STROUD: These are the ADEM
2	review comments for the draft final engineering
3	EE/CA redevelopment area. A letter went out
4	December 13th, 2002. This was stamped and put into
5	ADEM files. It is for public review. If anybody
6	came down they could also get this. I want to take
7	a first shot, there's a total of over seventy
8	questions here.
9	MR. CONROY: And we don't want to
10	review seventy questions.
11	MR. STROUD: The gist of the
12	questions are going to evolve around depth to
13	clearance. We are asking the Army for a lot of
14	information to help us validate these questions
15	even further, and that's still pending. We are
16	receiving some right now. We're looking at it.
17	The models you were referring to such as the OERIA,
18	I had the chance of going to unexploded ordnance
19	and have a lot of contacts with the unexploded
20	ordnance realm discussing OERIA, and also, I don't
21	have my subcontractor here tonight to explain it in
22	detail. But the OERIA process we're requesting a
23	look at further.

1 Also we are questioning one of their, 2 apparently in here it is brought up several times 3 where we're questioning the UXO calculator. that's a fair question. We had been questioning 5 all along about the UXO calculator and their using 6 it for the Alpha. And what we're trying to do is 7 see, we're going to try to validate it and see if 8 it does work and see if it's a real good tool that 9 carries over to the OERIA process. But depth to clearance is also one of them 10 apparently we're asking through here. And that's 11 12 are they using whites or are they using some other 13 methods like M61 depth to clearance. And when it 14 comes down to turning over the property, are we 15 going to satisfy the Joint Powers Authority saying, 16 you know, we didn't find any UXO but using the whites, is there anything greater than one foot 17 depth, was it an impact area. So there are a lot 18 19 of questions related to that in here, depth to 20 clearance. During a session out west that I went to, 21 22 I mean, when I say out west, it was down in

> NOBLE & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, ALABAMA 36271 PHONE: (256) 892-0591

Orlando, and also I have been to several other UXO

23

1 conferences out west and other places too that have 2 been questioning the use of certain risk models. 3 And what I'm trying to get a grasp on is what other models are out there, are we really using the right 5 model, can we upgrade this model to do a little bit 6 better. And a lot of models are based on a variety of things like quantitative and qualitative. The 8 more qualitative you are in this, the better your 9 answer is, in my opinion. The less qualitative the more quantitative it is -- I mean, don't want to 10 get that backwards. The more qualitative it is, 11 12 the less value of it. The more quantitative it is, 13 the more value that's added to it. And that's what 14 I got out of a lot of these meetings. And so we're 15 asking that. 16 These are very fair questions and I'm 17 sure we'll get some good answers out of it. But validation is real important in this. And the Army 18 19 is getting together a lot of information for us so 20 we can go through and understand that validation process. And once we see that then we can make our 21 22 decision that yes, it's a good job or not, or does

> NOBLE & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, ALABAMA 36271 PHONE: (256) 892-0591

it need to be tweaked some. I don't know the

23

1	answer to that yet. So these questions are still
2	out. I'm sure the Army can't answer any of these
3	questions right now to my knowledge. Unless you
4	want to take a shot at any of them. But, does that
5	help? I'm just trying to thumb through and find a
6	couple of more things.
7	MR. CONROY: That helps. And what
8	I'm taking away from this is, right or wrong, our
9	state environmental agency has serious questions as
10	to how valid the characterizations has been in
11	assessing unexploded ordnance. And when our state
12	agency has questions like that, the thought is that
13	maybe we should know. And the sooner we have these
14	questions in our hands, the sooner we can
15	determine, with help from others, as to whether or
16	not they are good questions or questions that can
17	be answered.
18	MR. RYAN: Okay, let me just address
19	that. We provided the Alpha area EE/CA in a draft
20	format to ADEM for review. We received these
21	comments sometime after the 13th, and we're
22	preparing a response. The reason you do not have
23	the Alpha area EE/CA is we have not addressed these

1	comments. That's the reason we provided to it ADEM
2	to see what their concerns were. Once we have
3	addressed those comments and we go final with the
4	Alpha area EE/CA, you will be provided it.
5	DR. COX: So, to be clear on this,
6	we don't get the drafts, we only get the finals?
7	MR. RYAN: We first take them to
8	EPA and ADEM in the case of UXO only to ADEM
9	because EPA no longer deals with UXO, and we ask
10	them to review what we have provided in a draft
11	format, give us their responses, and then we sit
12	down with them and work out did we misstate
13	something or did you not understand how we prepared
14	something, do we need to clarify it before we go
15	out with a final product that says we have agreed
16	EPA and ADEM and the Army.
17	DR. COX: And just one question,
18	what happens if the Army and ADEM don't agree?
19	Refresh me, what's the procedure there?
20	MR. RYAN: We will take their
21	comments, we will respond. If they do not agree
22	with our responses, it will be an unresolved
23	comment. All of these documents will be a part of

54

MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Let me ask a 2 3 question. Under your manual Restoration Advisory board Guidelines, F53, of course it says as a 5 general rule all draft and final documents 6 delivered to regulators should be distributed to 7 the RAB. Now, do I understand what you said is we 8 are only getting the final documents and we are not 9 being provided the drafts? 10 MR. LEVY: Actually you are getting 11 draft documents. 12 MR. RYAN: You have gotten some drafts. But we have come to this one, this is the 13 14 first UXO EE/CA we produced, and we went to it 15 first with ADEM to try to resolve any comments. 16 MR. LEVY: There is a public participation process, obviously, in what we do. 17 And that's what we've been doing with all the 18 19 documents. We do deal with the regulatory agencies 20 on review of these documents, we've been doing it 21 for a long time. And when a document comes out 22 before a decision is made it goes, where we're trying to choose a remedy or choose an action, it 23

1	does go out to the public. So the document is
2	still in a draft then too. We don't finalize a
3	document until we get public opinion. So the draft
4	document, like in the case of the landfill EE/CA,
5	that was a draft document. It did come to you all
6	as well. So we are following those guidelines.
7	MR. RYAN: But my statement was
8	incorrect what I said was a final document, I
9	should have said final draft. Because as ADEM will
10	tell you, we deal with draft, draft final. I'm
11	like Philip now, I can't state that correctly.
12	Philip can you state that?
13	MR. STROUD: Draft, draft final,
14	probably go to a revised draft final one, two,
15	three. It depends. Now the drafts, you'll see
16	them in here and you'll see finals. Again, when we
17	get them, they are stamped and they are put in the
18	file. So there is a process to get these things.
19	I cannot deny a report when it comes in. It goes
20	straight to the files and is open for public
21	review.
22	DR. COX: You mentioned earlier,

 $\mbox{\rm did}$ you not, that all the documents at ADEM were

23

56

_				
7	available	+ 0	the	nuhlia?

- 2 MR. STROUD: Yes.
- 3 DR. COX: So therefore if the Army
- 4 sends you something to review, then that document
- is reviewed and goes to the public?
- 6 MR. STROUD: It goes to the file.
- 7 DR. COX: If somebody wanted to go
- 8 to the trouble, they could go down and get a copy
- 9 of it?
- 10 MR. STROUD: Come down and file
- 11 review, request it, and they should have it.
- MR. CONROY: And that's essentially
- how I got these.
- 14 MR. LEVY: But my point is the Army
- is not trying to withhold any documents from
- 16 anybody. We are trying to resolve internal
- 17 comments from the regulatory agencies, because
- 18 there is a public piece to this process, and when
- 19 that public piece comes up, the Army provides those
- documents so that you can review them.
- 21 MR. CONROY: We have all the faith
- in you all personally. And I should say that I do.
- Over a period of time there continue to be trust

1	issues relating to, you all know the list as well
2	as I do, VX, TCE, and over the weekend we learned
3	PCB and UXO is a part of this alphabet soup of
4	contamination.
5	And again, what I learned when I was at
6	this meeting is that we need to get as much
7	information as soon as we can get information to
8	evaluate it, because although there is a public
9	participation process, it sometimes doesn't work as
10	well as the public would like to see it work. In
11	fairness to you all, again, you all have done a
12	great job. And we're just looking to get
13	information I'm looking for ways to get
14	information to help us evaluate just as soon as
15	possible because the clock is ticking with the JPA.
16	MR. LEVY: I do want to point out
17	something about this process, because the Army
18	doesn't go this alone. We are with the regulatory
19	agencies hand in hand. The plans for Alpha, work
20	plans or Alpha and even the OERIA model were
21	presented to the regulatory agencies. They did
22	concur with the plan and did concur with the model.
23	So this is not new.

58

1	It's kind of here we are now we're
2	bringing into question things that were already
3	agreed to up front. I understand we look at things
4	all the time and review them all the time. But
5	just understand that the Army does not go this
6	alone. That's why they formed BRAC cleanup team.
7	We sit on a monthly basis and before anybody puts a
8	shovel in the soil we go through these work plans.
9	We agree to what it is we're going to do before we
10	even do it. Or we attempt to come to agreement.
11	And there may be some occasions where we may
12	disagree. But we try to follow what the law
13	requires.
14	DR. COX: Here again, what happens
15	if you disagree in the instance you just gave?
16	MR. LEVY: In all cases we try to
17	resolve before we move forward. We try to resolve,
18	and it doesn't always happen.
19	DR. COX: That's my question, what
20	happens if it isn't solved before you move forward?
21	MR. LEVY: From our perspective, I
22	generally, BCT level where we're at, if there's a
23	disagreement, we'll push it forward to a higher

1	level and see whether or not they can come to an
2	agreement at that higher level. So I may push it
3	to Glynn or higher. Generally we're moving forward
4	and we try to do everything at the lowest level to
5	agree where we're going.
6	MR. CLENDENIN: Any other questions?
7	Does that help clarify some? Okay. The action
8	summary sheet that was in your mail out or e-mail.
9	Any questions or comments about that and who will
10	make this report?
11	MR. LEVY: That's mine. And I'll
12	just briefly go over for the new members so that
13	they know. We've got essentially two programs at
14	Fort McClellan, one is the UXO program we're
15	looking at characterization and clean up of
16	unexploded ordnance. And then we've got what we
17	call an HTRW, which is a basic term, hazardous
18	toxic radiological waste. So it's essentially all
19	the other types of contaminant. This then is the
20	areas we're looking at in our UXO program. It
21	covers just a block of property that when we
22	originally started down this path we were told from
23	a JPA standpoint, because this is how we want to

1	try to acquire the property. This being for
2	industrial, Alpha was a priority. This being where
3	the refuge area was supposed to be, and this being
4	kind of like the third priority. And this, the
5	Eastern Bypass actually turned out to be the number
6	one priority. So these are our investigative
7	areas. Eastern Bypass, Bravo, Charlie, Alpha.
8	When you hear those terms, Jim and Cheryl, you know
9	those are the areas that we are looking at.
10	And then, I don't think I've got a map
11	here showing the various sites from a HTRW
12	standpoint. There are lots of sites across the
13	installation where we've got a history of activity.
14	It could have been a maintenance operation, I don't
15	know, it could have been some sort of chemical
16	training, decontamination. We're seeing
17	groundwater contamination, and if you look up here
18	you'll see various areas on the map. Those are
19	really the two programs.
20	One of the big programs is the landfill
21	EE/CA, and then we've got a separate remedial
22	investigation going on on landfill three. This
23	piece up here is landfill three. It's directly

1	adjacent to Highway 21. There's two different
2	things going on at landfill three. We're doing an
3	EE/CA that encompasses all the fill areas to
4	include landfill three. And the remedies or the
5	actions we're going to take in association, a lot
6	of you heard about that landfill EE/CA and you can
7	see these are all the various fill areas that we're
8	looking at. So we're looking at the landfill EE/CA
9	from the aspect of what we're going to do with all
10	those fill areas and then we're looking at the
11	groundwater issue, because we have a known
12	groundwater contamination associated with landfill
13	three.
14	That's being done as a separate RI. The
15	BRAC cleanup team, you'll hear the BCT, BRAC
16	cleanup team, it consist of myself, Philip from
17	ADEM, and Doyle Brittain from EPA, we meet on a
18	monthly basis. We have been looking at the ongoing
19	investigation for the landfill, landfill three for
20	groundwater investigation. What we've determined
21	at this point is that we think we've got the
22	contamination boundaries at the east and the west
23	and in the south to some extent. We've got some

1	more work actually going on there too. Going north
2	we still have a piece we need to nail down in terms
3	of what might be happening up there. So there are
4	ten additional wells that we are going to be
5	putting in to try to do a better job of bounding
6	that and looking where that contamination might be
7	going towards the north. So what you see in the
8	first one is a little discussion about landfill
9	three and what we're doing there.
10	DR. COX: Where will the wells be
11	Ron, on the map?
12	MR. LEVY: Gosh, we've showed it
13	before and off the top of my head I really can't
14	tell you. But some of those will be right off of
15	the fill area here, and some will be in the median
16	of Highway 21 and some are going to be in private
17	property on the other side of 21. There are ten
18	additional wells that we are putting in.
19	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: How far north are
20	you going to go?
21	MR. LEVY: How about it, Josh?
22	MR. JENKINS: We're going just to
23	the north side, you see up there on that map where

1	the edge of the green is, we're going just a little
2	north of that. There is an east-west trending
3	boundaries, northern part, just to the north of
4	that at this point in time.
5	MS. FATHKE: Ron, how does anybody
6	decide where these wells are going to go?
7	MR. LEVY: We've got some very
8	talented geologists and hydrogeologists that look
9	at the terrain and look at the groundwater and look
10	at all sorts of stuff and we've had between EPA and
11	Shaw, our contractor, we put the wells where they
12	think is best suited to capture migration. So,
13	Josh can probably talk to you more in depth, but
14	there is actually a groundwater subcommittee with
15	the BCT that discusses this. Josh is one of the
16	talented geologists.
17	MR. CONROY: This will bring us up
18	to thirty-one?
19	MR. LEVY: That's pretty good. I
20	mean, across the installation, we've got hundreds
21	of wells.
22	MR. CONROY: Right but that's on

23

landfill three?

1	MR. LEVY: Now, the other part that
2	I essentially want to go over is the Alpha, Bravo,
3	and Charlie, just so you know, all the field work
4	has been completed in those investigative areas,
5	we've really just completed the field work in
6	Charlie. It's been done since September, it's been
7	going back and forth. But for the Alpha area you
8	heard Philip say that they provided comments and
9	we're looking at those comments now. We're trying
10	to get through those comments now. Can't give you
11	any particular date in terms of when we'll get that
12	completed. Bravo we are, we've finished the field
13	work. We're trying to prepare a report. Don't
14	know when that report is going to come out, but
15	March sometime.
16	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Ron, your clean up
17	on your EE/CAs are still being based on a 2000 plan
18	that was submitted, is that correct, from the JPA?
19	MR. LEVY: The reuse plan that was
20	yeah, the reuse plan was part of the application
21	that came
22	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: So it was `97 but
23	it was submitted in 2000 I believe?

1	MR. LEVY: I believe that's correct.
2	MS. SCHNEIDER: And when are y'all
3	going to respond to the time line on the landfill
4	EE/CA comments?
5	MR. LEVY: We are still shooting for
6	the first of March around March.
7	Okay, so essentially what you see on the
8	sheet is the status, and a lot of this and,
9	again, those reports will go through the regulatory
10	agencies first are still being generated. You've
11	got to understand there is a lot of work and there
12	are a lot of folks involved in reviewing those
13	things. There is QA analysis, quality assurance
14	analysis, the corps wants to make sure that what
15	comes out on those documents are the best data and
16	written properly.
17	There's another piece I failed to
18	mention, this brown piece is called M-101. It's
19	hard to explain how we got to that piece. It is
20	being handled separately. We have in fact done a
21	clearance in there. That report has been provided
22	to ADEM, and I think we're supposed to start
23	getting

1 MR. STROUD: Comments have been signed and they should be in your hands January 2 3 6th.(sic) MR. LEVY: And then the Eastern 5 Bypass, this right here, and again we base our 6 clearance on historical information on where ranges were and where we shot and what we know of might have been on the ground. So this part down here, 9 there is no history of any ordnance used on top of the bypass. In fact, it's already been 10 11 transferred. This part here, we've had significant 12 use, and we knew there was significant ordnance in 13 there. See, the red areas in here, when we first 14 went down this path the department of 15 transportation said we need this, you see it's sort 16 of the brownish color and purple. And then they came back later on and said well, our design wasn't 17 correct, we need the rest of this in here. So we 18 19 had to go back and pick that up and do clearance on 20 that as well. We are still working in this area 21 here, doing mechanical clearance, you've probably 22 all heard that. We're moving along on that. Hopefully we'll get finished with the mechanical 23

1	clearance on that at the end of the month. That's
2	the status of the UXO work going on.
3	The landfill EE/CA, you asked the
4	question, again that's been out for public comment
5	and we've got comments from the regulatory agencies
6	and the public and we're still addressing those
7	comments. And then there's some FOSTs that are
8	out. Cheryl and Jim, a FOST is a finding
9	suitability to transfer.
10	MS. FATHKE: You keep forgetting
11	Dwight.
12	MR. LEVY: Dwight has been with us.
13	He's been coming to the meetings. And there is a
14	requirement for the Army to document the property
15	is suitable for transfer. Those are separate
16	documents that might have, you know, a lot of
17	different sites that have been cleaned up or at a
18	point where they are ready to be transferred for
19	whatever reason. So it may be a piece of property
20	and we've got one for this property, for this one
21	right up here, this what we call tract two.
22	Anyways, it's presented to the regulatory agencies,

it's also opened up for public comment. We believe

23

1	the FOST is suitable for transfer. We take any
2	unresolved comments and attach those to the FOST.
3	We try to resolve all the comments, but those that
4	are not are attached to the FOST and then we'll
5	start the real estate action to deed and actual
6	property transfer.
7	As you can see, you've got a FOST two
8	that's out there, we've got Eastern Bypass tract
9	two and the western portion of tract three which is
10	this and this. This is critical to open up
11	Summerall Gate Road. So we are working to get that
12	transferred.
13	And then you've got another FOST called
14	Super FOST Three, and it's a conglomerate of about
15	fifty-four acres, and sites that kind of got left
16	out of transfers because we were still doing
17	investigation. So it's kind of a spattering of
18	sites in here without trying to be real specific on
19	that. And then there is also some information
20	about deeds that we're working on. I don't know if
21	I can answer any questions about that.
22	Also on that sheet is the status of what
23	we've got locally here contractual support from

1	Shaw and Foster Wheeler and the corps of engineers
2	focus on the site that are actually doing the work
3	and our typical safety warning and concern about
4	people roaming around on posted areas and areas
5	that have been cordoned off without considering the
6	impacts of that.
7	MR. CLENDENIN: Any questions for
8	Ron on this report?
9	MR. LEVY: I won't do it like that
10	again. Only because Jim and Cheryl.
11	MR. CLENDENIN: Let's move along.
12	Update on the TAPP contract hours.
13	MR. LEVY: I'll do that. It was
14	originally started with five hundred hours for the
15	November-December time frame. Ron Grant who is our
16	TAPP contractor billed us for three point five.
17	Overall what presently is left in terms of the
18	number of hours in his contract is four hundred and
19	forty-eight. Four hundred and forty-eight and a
20	quarter hours left for use. He bills at a fifty
21	dollar per hour rate. And that's cheap.
22	MR. CLENDENIN: Any comments on
23	that? Ron, would you like to say anything?

1	MR. GRANT: Did Ron say I was cheap?
2	MS. SCHNEIDER: Will he review the
3	UXO documents for the RAB?
4	MR. LEVY: Certainly that's up to
5	the RAB. When they become public documents what
6	do you mean by review? He will
7	MS. SCHNEIDER: Just like he did the
8	landfill EE/CA.
9	MR. LEVY: Yeah, at the pleasure of
10	the RAB, I'm sure that's what he'll do. The intent
11	of his contract is to be able to explain, you know,
12	he's a technical assistance person. So he is to be
13	able to explain really what's been said to put it
14	simply in that document to you all.
15	MR. CLENDENIN: Okay. Anything
16	else?
17	MR. RYAN: I have one thing, Dwight
18	had asked that he be able to present a groundwater
19	study, and, Dwight, to the RAB meeting and I
20	understand that you are going to be giving it to
21	your city council meeting tomorrow night?
22	MR. MITCHELL: No, sir. The
23	contractor or geologist will be presenting it, and

- 1 I was requesting to share the information with the
- 2 RAB. And that information will be presented
- 3 tomorrow night.
- 4 MR. RYAN: The meeting is open to
- 5 the RAB meetings who would like to attend?
- 6 MR. MITCHELL: I'm sure the mayor
- 7 wouldn't mind at all.
- MR. RYAN: That's tomorrow night.
- 9 We can ask questions, right? Dwight you intended
- 10 for Ben maybe to come in and present it here or
- just bring some paperwork. I'm assuming if the RAB
- 12 members would like to have that presented at the
- 13 next RAB meeting we can certainly ask that Dwight
- or his contractor do that.
- MR. MITCHELL: He had intended to do
- that if we could get on the agenda, yes.
- MR. CLENDENIN: For the next
- 18 meeting?
- 19 MR. MITCHELL: At the next meeting
- in February.
- 21 MR. RYAN: That's entirely up to
- the members of the RAB.
- MR. CLENDENIN: Would you like to

1	see that happen, to hear that report next month?
2	Everybody in favor?
3	MS. FATHKE: Yes.
4	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: I have a question
5	concerning that. It's overall procedures that are
6	used by this RAB. And my first question is who
7	sets the agenda for the RAB?
8	MR. RYAN: Well, Ron and I and the
9	RAB members themselves. If they have anything like
10	the letter the information you requested or Pete
11	requested. We certainly take any agenda items you
12	have. We would ask that they be pertinent to the
13	RAB business itself on the installation.
14	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Okay, I've got
15	several questions that I would like to ask
16	concerning that. Maybe, I don't think I
17	misunderstand this. But we received a
18	communication from your office when we requested
19	that, that you required a pre-briefing for each
20	program that's presented to the RAB. Is that
21	policy of this RAB?
22	MR. RYAN: We require our
23	contractors to pre-brief, EPA and ADEM, so that we

- 1 understand what the briefing is.
- 2 MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Is that a
- 3 requirement to get on the agenda is a pre-briefing
- 4 be presented?
- 5 MR. LEVY: No, we just asked, it
- 6 wasn't a requirement. If it was presented as a
- 7 requirement it was never meant to do that. All we
- 8 asked was to hear about what was going to be
- 9 presented.
- 10 MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Well, you know,
- 11 this says, "Mr. Ryan requires a pre-brief for each
- 12 program presented to RAB. Can your contractor be
- here to do the pre-brief at 1300 on 10 February. It
- 14 will be in the same room as the RAB meeting. If
- not, please let me know the time. If there are any
- 16 handouts, I can make copies after the pre-brief
- just in case there are any changes. We have a
- 18 computer projector and screen if he needs to run a
- 19 slide show. If there is anything else needed --
- 20 now, you know --
- 21 MR. RYAN: Let me say, that is
- 22 normally the way we do our contractor, both EPA,
- 23 ADEM and the Army's that come in and provide the

1	technical briefings to you. And I'm sure that was							
2	the intent, was to try to have all of that							
3	together.							
4	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: In the							
5	pre-briefings has there ever been a request made to							
6	a contractor to remove any information that they							
7	presented that could possibly be controversial to							
8	this RAB?							
9	MR. RYAN: Only that that is not							
10	explained. If they brought together information							
11	that they didn't explain that would have been							
12	explainable to the RAB members in whole and not to							
13	a technical group. We've had instances where							
14	geologists, and I'll pick on Josh, wanted to							
15	explain it in terms that geologists understood but							
16	most of the rest of us had a tough time							
17	understanding it. And my request was to make it							
18	understandable for the general public.							
19	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: My understanding							
20	of the rules and regulations state that the agenda							
21	is supposed to be set by both chair and co-chair							
22	people, supposed to be signed off. If it's a							
23	prerequisite that contractors or maybe this was							

miscommunicated, but we're not a contractor, so, 2 but if there's a pre-briefing, then I would think 3 that either the other co-chair person or a member of the RAB should be present at that pre-briefing.

5 MR. RYAN: Dr. Cox, I know that you 6 have attended some of the pre-briefs we've had.

7 DR. COX: One.

1

14

19

8 MR. RYAN: We don't have a problem 9 asking people out here. In fact, we will notify any of you. 10

DR. COX: So to clarify that, the 11 12 pre-briefings are open to the RAB members?

13 MR. RYAN: Yeah, I'm --

15 aware that there was a pre-briefing on any 16 presentation that was ever made to us. And maybe I just, that is I've looked in our bylaws and I 17

MAYOR KIMBROUGH: See, I was never

looked in the RAB organizational chart, and when I 18

got this and said that we were required to do a

20 pre-briefing, then my question is why we as RAB 21 members weren't aware of that and why is that not 22 in the guidelines. Because it makes me, whether it 23 is or not it makes me think well why is this taking

1	place? You know, why are the reports being
2	skewed or anything. Is there information being
3	removed that the Army doesn't want us to know about
4	that could have been controversial.
5	MR. RYAN: No.
6	MR. LEVY: No.
7	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Whether it is or
8	not, you can understand what I am saying.
9	MR. RYAN: We certainly hear you and
10	we understand that, and we will certainly make sure
11	that the co-chair is invited to any pre-briefs we
12	have and we can notify anyone else who is
13	interested.
14	MR. CLENDENIN: Okay. Anything else
15	on this matter? Comments from the audience?
16	Anybody need to say anything or ask questions of
17	this group or anybody on this group?
1.0	DD COV: Let me melte a guaranti en
18	DR. COX: Let me make a suggestion,
19	something came up when you mentioned about agendas.
20	One of the things that may be helpful as far as an
21	item on our agenda is the discussion of the agenda
22	for the next meeting. So if any RAB members have
23	anything they would like to see happen for the next

1	meeting, then that could be put on the agenda. And							
2	one thing that I throw out for suggestions perhaps							
3	since Pete bought up the Alpha EE/CA, what about							
4	having ADEM's would Philip bring his tech in and							
5	enlighten us on comments and concerns that you							
6	have.							
7	MR. STROUD: I don't see any problem							
8	with that. We're real critical of his funding and							
9	using him, but yes, the answer is yes, I would love							
10	to have him here. I wish I had had him here							
11	tonight. We're watching his funding very closely							
12	right now. Using him for down the road.							
13	MR. RYAN: When will you know that							
14	we can have your guy here?							
15	MR. STROUD: If they request, I'll							
16	just tell him he's coming the next time.							
17	MR. CLENDENIN: I thought that was a							
18	request.							
19	DR. COX: I was just throwing that							
20	out							
21	MR. STROUD: I don't want to bring							

MR. BRANCHFIELD: I want to suggest

him here if we're not reviewing something UXO.

22

23

1	taking it a step further if it's appropriate, when							
2	we ask ADEM to present their comments maybe that							
3	should be done in concert with the Army being able							
4	to present their response. Kill two birds with one							
5	stone, something like that.							
6	MR. RYAN: I can't guarantee that							
7	we'll have our responses ready by the next RAB							
8	meeting, so.							
9	MR. BRANCHFIELD: In which case							
10	maybe we should put it off to that point.							
11	DR. COX: The thing I would like to							
12	have is maybe an educational meeting. Since only							
13	one of us got to go hear the sea lions bark, then							
14	perhaps you could explain some of the issues that,							
15	help us understand some of the questions that you							
16	are asking. I think that would be, that's what I							
17	was thinking and then have							
18	MR. STROUD: I am encouraged that							
19	Pete had gone to this. And he's already asking me							
20	questions on homogeneity and risk models, so							
21	apparently he did a real good job.							
22	MR. CONROY: If it had been up to							

me, you all would have come along.

23

1	MS. SCHNEIDER: They still can go,					
2	can't they, in the next round?					
3	MR. CONROY: Yeah, I think they are					
4	doing it twice a year. It's not always with sea					
5	lions. Sometimes it goes to the east coast.					
6	MR. STROUD: I'll send something					
7	from the ITRC maybe to Brenda and she could, you					
8	have all these people on the e-mail, correct? And					
9	they'll keep you updated and they'll be glad to					
10	have you updated on their meetings and times and					
11	places and costs.					
12	MR. CONROY: In relating to what					
13	Craig had mentioned, and I know this is often times					
14	the impossible question to have answered, when					
15	would you anticipate answers to these questions?					
16	MR. RYAN: I don't have one, Pete.					
17	MR. CONROY: Is the contractor					
18	reading them and working on them now as far as you					
19	know?					
20	MR. RYAN: Yes, that's exactly what					
21	happened.					
22	MR. CONROY: Is this Foster Wheeler?					
23	MR. RYAN: Yes					

1	MR. CONROY: Anyone here from
2	Foster Wheeler?
3	MR. RYAN: The contracting officer
4	hasn't told them when they can release them. I can
5	tell you that's the answer that you'll get.
6	MR. HOLCOMB: The contracting
7	officer hasn't even told me to work on them yet.
8	But we are working on them, with the corps.
9	MR. CONROY: Do you have
10	permission? But you are working on them?
11	MR. HOLCOMB: We are working on
12	them. We are going back and forth between some of
13	them the corps has to answer and some of them we
14	need to.
15	MR. CONROY: Do you think within six
16	months?
17	MR. HOLCOMB: Oh, gosh, yeah.
18	MR. CONROY: Within two months?
19	MR. HOLCOMB: I can't get any closer
20	than that.
21	MR. CONROY: I just start broad and
22	I'll narrow it down. Thanks.
23	MS. FATHKE: On Mayor Kimbrough's

1	concern, with this pre-briefing, would it make
2	sense to advertise when it's going to be held when
3	the agenda is released so that if we do have an
4	interest on one of the topics on the agenda we can
5	go to the pre-briefing?
6	MR. RYAN: I don't see a problem
7	with it. I think Brenda could put out some
8	information when she sends out normal information
9	if there's a pre-brief schedule.
10	MR. CONROY: At some point if we're
11	all coming to the pre-briefing then we'll have to
12	have a pre-brief briefing, wouldn't we?
13	MR. RYAN: We can just have the RAB
14	meeting early, how is that?
15	MR. LEVY: The whole intent of that
16	pre-brief is to make sure that what the briefer is
17	doing is understandable to the RAB so we get folks
18	who are pretty much just saying no, you're trying
19	to say something that they are not going to
20	understand you need to make that more simple. And
21	as tough as this stuff is when you look at it, it's
22	tougher when we start to sit down. That's the
23	whole intent of that pre-brief, not to hold back

anything but to make sure that what that briefer is

- 2 doing is understandable to you.
- 3 MR. RYAN: But you are certainly
- 4 welcome to attend.
- 5 MR. DOYLE: If I may make a
- 6 comment. You guys, it was not pretty listening to
- 7 the risk assessors for the first time at the
- 8 pre-brief. It was god awful, and it had everything
- 9 to do with fixing the briefing. These guys are
- 10 risk analyzers that are not public speakers. It
- 11 was just terrible.
- 12 MS. SCHNIEDER: Risk assessment is
- 13 boring.
- MR. DOYLE: It was boring. If you
- 15 could have heard and seen the two-hour briefing the
- 16 first time around, you would have gone out and
- 17 committed suicide.
- 18 All we are doing is, and we have the
- 19 input of Philip and Doyle there, in terms of "Hey
- 20 guys, speak to the public. Don't speak to a fellow
- 21 geologist or fellow risk manager because it makes
- 22 sense to no one." Whether it be myself or, Ron
- 23 understands most of this, but to most of us it made

1 no sense whatsoever. It was terrible. So, I mean,

- 2 if you want to come and hear them, there is no
- 3 problem with it, but you are not going to find any
- 4 smoking gun there. It's just to fine tune and
- 5 refine what's being presented at the RAB. That's
- 6 just my two cents.
- 7 MS. FATHKE: Well, and it may be to
- 8 our gain too because if one of us is there and
- 9 we're looking at it from the RAB perspective, we
- 10 may urge the presenter to give further
- 11 clarification on a certain point. If anyone has a
- 12 personal interest, for instance the mayor and this
- water study then he or one of his representatives
- 14 probably ought to be there to make sure that it's
- 15 presented in the best interest of the City of
- Weaver.
- 17 MAYOR KIMBROUGH: We're not going
- 18 to do it, I'm sorry. And we've already
- 19 communicated that. We're not going to ask our
- 20 engineer to come up here and spend a half a day for
- 21 a pre-briefing. That's why we've invited to come
- 22 tomorrow. We are not going to provide a
- 23 pre-briefing. There is nothing controversial in

1	there. We are trying to provide information from
2	the source water study that was required by the
3	Clean Water Act. And you know there shouldn't be
4	anything controversial. And if an engineer can
5	speak to a bunch of city councilmen, then he ought
6	to be able to speak to the RAB.
7	MR. RYAN: We understand that you
8	are going to request for being on the agenda in
9	February. I guess that was my question that
10	started all of this.
11	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Yes, we've
12	requested it. Under the condition of a
13	pre-briefing we will not provide.
14	MR. RYAN: Yeah, we understand that.
14	MR. RIAN: Team, we understand that.
15	In fact, I thought we had communicated that. Maybe
16	I just hadn't done that in our discussion here.
17	Okay.
18	MR. CLENDENIN: Okay, anything else?
19	Any further audience comments or any further board
20	comments? Do I hear a motion that we adjourn?
21	MR. CONROY: So moved.
22	DR. COX: Second.
23	MR. CLENDENIN: Everybody in favor

1	say aye.					
2						
3		RAB	MEMBERS	S: Ay	e.	
4		MR.	CLENDEN	IIN:	Thank you	very much.
5		(Whereup	on this	RAB m	eeting was	concluded
6		at 8:05	p.m.)			
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						

23

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	
5	STATE OF ALABAMA)
6	CALHOUN COUNTY)
7	I, DONNA D. GALLAHAR, a Court
8	Reporter and a Notary Public in and for the State
9	of Alabama at Large, duly commissioned and
10	qualified, hereby certify that the above captioned
11	meeting was by me reduced to shorthand and
12	afterwards transcribed upon a computer; and that
13	the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of
14	the meeting as aforesaid.
15	I FURTHER CERTIFY that this RAB
16	meeting was taken at the time and place in the
17	foregoing caption specified, and was completed
18	without adjournment.
19	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a
20	relative, counsel, or attorney for either party, or
21	otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.
22	
23	

1	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
2	hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal at
3	Anniston, Alabama, on this the 27th day of January,
4	2003.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	DONNA D. GALLAHAR Notary Public in and for
10	Alabama at Large
11	
12	My commission expires May 20, 2005.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	